

13.11 RAPPORT – DEPUTATE VIR ADVIES INSAKE TOESEGGINGS UIT DIE RENTE VAN DIE SUSTENTASIEFONDS – ALGEMEEN

13.11 REPORT – DEPUTIES FOR ADVICE IN REFERENCE AWARDS FROM THE INTEREST OF THE SUSTENTATION FUND – GENERAL

<p>1. Opdrag Acta 2015:97, 3.2.4.</p> <p>2. Sake waarvan die Sinode kennis neem</p> <p>2.1 Vir die stand van die Sustentasiefonds word u verwys na die finansiële state van die Administratiewe Buro.</p> <p>2.2 <i>Aansoeke</i></p> <p>2.2.1 Ten spyte van herhaalde versoeke en van die verduidelikende notas, was sekere kerke se aansoeke nie volledig nie en het dit nie aan al die vereistes van die Reglement voldoen nie. Daar was ook aansoeke van kerke wat keurig en netjies versorg was met al die nodige inligting en verduidelikings. Sommige Klassisse het baie deeglike werk gedoen, terwyl ander hulle verantwoordelikheid om die aansoek te motiveer, nagelaat het.</p> <p>2.2.2 Aansoeke is op dieselfde wyse as in die verlede behandel en beoordeel volgens die Reglement. Kerke wat aansoek doen om sustentasie, is gevra vir 'n bedieningsplan sodat die wyse waarop die kerk sy roeping wil nakom, duidelik is.</p> <p>2.2.3 Die aansoeke van kerke by die Sustentasiefonds vir 2016 was R4 702 460. Die Deputate het 'n bedrag van R2 750 000 toegeken uit die Sustentasiefonds.</p> <p>2.2.4 Die aansoeke deur die kerke by die Sustentasiefonds vir 2017 was R5 125 933. Die Deputate het met 'n bedrag van R1 859 000 gesustenteer.</p> <p>2.2.5 Die aansoeke deur die kerke by die Sustentasiefonds vir 2018 was R4 008 509 miljoen. Die Deputate het met 'n bedrag van R2 650 000 gesustenteer.</p> <p>2.2.6 Die genoemde bedrag in 2.2.5, vereis egter dat 'n bykomende R1 000 000 in kollektes in 2018 ingesamel sal moet word. Die kollektes wat in 2017 ingesamel is, kom egter nog nie by die riglyn van R25 per lidmaat pj nie.</p>	<p>1. Mandate Acta 2015:97, 3.2.4.</p> <p>2. Matters that the Synod take note of</p> <p>2.1 See financial statements of the Administrative Bureau for the status of the Sustentation fund.</p> <p>2.2 <i>Applications</i></p> <p>2.2.1 Despite repeated requests and the explanatory notes, certain churches' applications were still not complete and did not meet all the requirements of the procedural rules. There were also applications that were neat and well-presented, containing all the necessary information and clarifications. Some Classes were very thorough, while others neglected to motivate their application.</p> <p>2.2.2 Applications were reviewed as always and assessed against the procedural rules. Churches applying for sustentation were requested to provide a ministry plan in explanation of how the church aims to fulfil its calling.</p> <p>2.2.3 The applications to the Sustentation fund for 2016 came to R4 702 460 and the Deputies awarded an amount R2 750 000.</p> <p>2.2.4 The applications to the Sustentation fund for 2017 came to R5 125 933. The Deputies provided sustentation of R1 859 000.</p> <p>2.2.5 The applications to the Sustentation fund for 2018 came to R4 008 509 million. The Deputies awarded an amount of R2 650 000.</p> <p>2.2.6 The abovementioned amount in 2.2.5 necessitates that a further R1 000 000 will have to be raised from collections in 2018. The collections of 2017 still, however, fell short of the guideline of R25 per member per year.</p>
---	--

<p>3. Sake waaroor die Sinode besluit</p> <p>3.1 <i>Toekennings</i> Hierdie tabel is die totale toekennings vanuit die bydraes van die Klassisse, Streeksinodes en GKSA Sustentatiefonds (in Rand):</p>	<p>3. Matters that the Synod decide on</p> <p>3.1 <i>Awards</i> The following table sets out the total awards from the contributions of the Classes, Regional Synods and GKSA Sustentation fund (in Rand):</p>
--	---

STREEKSINODES / REGIONAL SYNODS	2016	2017	2018
Bosveld / Bushveld	38 600	30 000	30 000
Pretoria	0	100 534	271 159
Noordwes / North West	717 846	434 032	875 491
Oostelike / Eastern	0	0	0
Vrystaat & KwaZulu Natal / Free State & KwaZulu Natal	529 687	400 834	492 279
Suidelike / Southern	898 168	535 533	506 930
Randvaal	565 699	358 067	474 140
TOTAAL / TOTAL	2 750 000	1 859 000	2 650 000

<p>3.2 <u>Aanbevelings</u></p> <p>3.2.1 Die Sinode neem kennis van die toekennings wat gemaak is en keur die optrede van die Deputate goed.</p> <p>3.2.2 Die Sinode benoem weer Deputate volgens die Reglement om die volgende opdrag uit te voer: Om volgens die Reglement van die GKSA Sustentatiefonds toeseggings te maak uit die beskikbare fondse.</p> <p>3.2.3 Dat daar jaarliks gerapporteer word aan Klassisse, Streeksinodes en ook aan die Algemene Sinode oor die wyse waarop die sustentasie toegeken word.</p> <p>3.2.4 Die Sinode gee opdrag aan die te benoeme Deputate om voort te gaan met fondsinsameling vir die Sustentatiefonds deur vrywillige bydraes te vra by kerke en/of instansies en/of persone.</p> <p>3.2.5 Die Sinode keur 5 kollektes per jaar goed vir die Sustentatiefonds met as riglyn R30.00 per lidmaat per jaar.</p> <p>3.2.6 Die Sinode versoek Klassisse om kerke aan te moedig om toesig te hou (oa deur visitasie) dat die goedgekeurde kollektes opgeneem word.</p>	<p>3.2 <u>Recommendations</u></p> <p>3.2.1 The Synod is to take note of the awards made and approve the actions taken by the Deputies.</p> <p>3.2.2 The Synod is to again appoint Deputies, in accordance to the Procedural rules, to execute the following instruction: Make awards, in accordance to the Procedural rules, from the funds available in the GKSA Sustentation fund.</p> <p>3.2.3 The awarding of funds is to be reported to Classes, Regional Synods and also the Regional Synod on an annual basis.</p> <p>3.2.4 The Synod is to instruct the to be appointed Deputies to continue fundraising for the Sustentation fund, by seeking voluntary contributions from churches and/or institutions and/or individuals.</p> <p>3.2.5 The Synod is to approve 5 collections per year for the Sustentation fund, setting a guideline of R30.00 per member per year.</p> <p>3.2.6 The Synod is to request the Classes to encourage churches to ensure (by <i>inter alia</i> visitation) to take up the approved collections.</p>
--	--

Rapporteur: Ds CA Jansen

Reporter: Rev CA Jansen