

## 11. DEPUTATE ALGEMENE SINODE 11. DEPUTIES GENERAL SYNOD

### 11.1 RAPPORT DEPUTATE EFFEKTIEWE SINODE (Artt 23, 107, 164)

### 11.1 REPORT DEPUTIES EFFICIENT SYNOD (Arts 23, 107, 164)

- A. Ds HC van Rooy stel die Rapport.  
Rev. HC van Rooy tables the Report.
- B. **Besluit:** Punte 2.3 tot 2.6 word verwys na Kommissie Effektiewe Sinode om seker te maak dat voorstelle prakties uitvoerbaar is in die lig van KO, art 30.  
Afgewaardigdes word opgeroep om hul insette by die Kommissie te gee.  
**Decision:** Points 2.3 to 2.6 referred to Commission Effective Synod to ensure that proposals are practically feasible in light of CO, art 30.  
Delegates are requested to give their input to the Commission.
- C. Dr G van Wyk lewer die Rapport van Kommissie Effektiewe Sinode.  
**Besluit:** In die lig van praktiese situasies wat voortdurend kan verander, sowel as die noodsaak om nie oor te reglementeer nie, word soos volg besluit:  
1. *Neem kennis van 4.1-6.3 van die Kommissierapport (en dus ook van 2.4-2.6 van die Rapport Deputate Effektiewe Sinode).*  
2. *Die Deputate Agenda, Korrespondensie en Programmering kry opdrag om 2.4-2.6 van die Rapport (11.1) sinvol te verreken in die beplanning van komende Algemene Sinodes.*  
Dr G van Wyk delivers the Report of Commission Effective Synod.  
**Decision:**In view of practical situations that may change constantly, as well as the need not to regulate, the following is decided:  
1. *Take note 4.1–6.3 of the Commission Report (and therefore also of 2.4–2.6 of the Report Deputies Effective Synod).*  
2. *The Deputies Agenda, Correspondence and Programming are instructed to take meaningful account of 2.4-2.6 of Report (11.1) in the planning of future General Synods.*
- D. Ds PW Kurpershoek stel die saak, naamlik dat aanbevelings 3.1-3.3 van Rapport Deputate Effektiewe Sinode nog nie hanteer is nie.  
Rev. PW Kurpershoek tables the matter, namely that recommendations 3.1-3.3 of the Report Deputies Effective Synod have not yet been dealt with.
- E. Die aanbevelings 3.1-3.3 word staande die Sinode afgehandel.  
The recommendations 3.1-3.3 will be concluded during the Synod session.

#### F. RAPPORT / REPORT

| 1. Opdrag   | 1. Mandate   |
|---|--|
| <p>Hantering van die volgende Beskrywingspunte:</p> <p>1.1 Algemene Sinode (AS) 2018 (11.5): Beskrywingspunt van StreekSinode Vrystaat en Kwazulu-Natal rakende die ondersoek na die Agenda en vergaderingwyse van die Algemene Sinode (Artt 196, 296) AS 2018 (11.6): Beskrywingspunt van Streeksinode Noordwes – optimale benutting van die GKSA se hulpbronne.</p> | <p>Handling of the following Point of Description:</p> <p>1.1 General Synod (GS) 2018 (11.5): Point of Description of Regional Synod Free State and Kwazulu-Natal regarding the review of the Agenda and assembly method of the General Synod (Arts 196, 296) GS 2018 (11.6): Point of Description of Regional Synod North West – optimal utilisation of the GKSA’s resources.</p> |

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <p>1.2 Die Deputate neem kennis van die volgende besluite van die Algemene Sinode as opdragte aan die Deputate Effektiewe Sinode:</p> <p>1.2.1 <u>Opsomming: Opdrag eis dat daar reeds in 2021 verandering sal wees</u><br/>Dat daar nie aan pt 3 van die Beskrywingspunt, soos dit daar staan, gevolg gegee word nie, maar dat die saak direk na afloop van die huidige Sinode alreeds hanteer kan word.<br/><u>Motivering</u><br/>As gevolg van die finansiële las en tydsduur van die Sinode is die <b>saak spoedeisend</b>. Indien die huidige Sinode Deputate sou aanwys wat eers op Sinode 2021 rapporteer, sou hulle aanbevelings eers daarna geïmplementeer kan word.<br/><b>Besluit: Goedgekeur.</b></p> <p>1.2.2 <u>Opsomming: Drie motiverings vir die opdrag</u><br/>3.2 <i>Beskrywingspunt 11.6:</i> Ten opsigte van Beskrywingspunt 11.6 word aanbeveel dat die Sinode kennis neem van pte 1–4.<br/>Ten opsigte van pt 5 beveel die Kommissie aan dat gevolg gegee word aan die Beskrywingspunt.<br/><br/><u>Motivering</u><br/>Dit is uit die beredenering in die Beskrywingspunt duidelik dat die <b>kerk se hulpbronne</b> op 'n beter en meer verantwoordelike wyse bestuur kan word. Die huidige sinodale funksionering is <b>baie duur</b> en die Sinode se tydskedule maak dit baie <b>moeilik vir jonger, ondernemende ouderlinge</b> (onder andere onderwysers en sakemanne) om na die Sinode afgevaardig te kan word.<br/><b>Besluit: Goedgekeur.</b></p> <p>1.2.3 <u>Opsomming: Reeds implementering – selfs ander meerdere vergaderings</u><br/>3.3 <i>Aanbevelings ten opsigte van die manier waarop die Sinode aan die Beskrywingspunte gevolg kan gee:</i><br/>3.3.1 Dat Deputate, met reg op koöptering, aangewys word om die sake in die twee ter saaklike Beskrywingspunte verder te ondersoek en te begin om dit in konsultasie met die <b>Deputate</b></p> | <p>1.2 The Deputies are to take note of the following decisions of the General Synod as instructions to the Deputies Efficient Synod:</p> <p>1.2.1 <u>Summary: Instruction demands changes already be made in 2021</u><br/>Point 3 (as it stands) of the Point of Description is to be disregarded and that the matter must be addressed directly after the current Synod.<br/><br/><u>Motivation</u><br/>Due to the financial burden and duration of the Synod the <b>matter demands immediate attention</b>. Should the current Synod appoint Deputies who will only report back at Synod 2021, their recommendations will only be implemented thereafter.<br/><b>Decision: Approved.</b></p> <p>1.2.2 <u>Summary: Three motivations for the mandate</u><br/>3.2 <i>Point of Description 11.6:</i> Regarding Point of Description 11.6, it is recommended that the Synod take note of points 1–4.<br/>Regarding p 5, the Commission recommends complying with the Point of Description.<br/><br/><u>Motivation</u><br/>The reasoning of the Point of Description makes it clear that the <b>church's resources</b> can be managed in a better and more responsible manner. The current synodal functioning is <b>highly expensive</b> and the Synod's schedule makes it very <b>difficult for younger, working elders</b> (inter alia teachers and businessmen) to be delegated to the Synod.<br/><b>Decision: Approved.</b></p> <p>1.2.3 <u>Summary: Immediate implementation – even with other major assemblies</u><br/>3.3 <i>Recommendations regarding the way the Synod can carry out the Points of Description:</i><br/>3.3.1 Deputies should be appointed, with the right to co-opt, to further investigate the matters in the two relevant Points of Description and start <b>to implement them, where possible, in consultation with the Deputies General Synod</b>. It could</p> |
|--|--|

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <p><b>Algemene Sinode waar moontlik te implementeer.</b> Dit kan selfs na ander meerdere vergaderings deurgegee word om te implementeer.</p> <p><b>Besluit: Goedgekeur.</b></p> <p>1.2.4 <u>Opsomming: Konsultasie met Deputate Algemene Sinode – Twee fases: Telematies en verkorte sitting</u></p> <p>3.3.2 Dat die Deputate in <b>konsultasie met die Deputate Algemene Sinode</b>, die volgende Algemene Sinode sal reël wat in twee verskillende fases kan plaasvind nl 'n <b>telematiese fase</b> sowel as 'n <b>verkorte fisiese sitting</b>. Ten opsigte van die telematiese fase van die vergadering kan daar bv van Docenda se fasiliteite gebruik gemaak word.</p> <p><b>Besluit: Goedgekeur.</b></p> <p>Dat daar nie aan pt 3 van die Beskrywingspunt, soos dit daar staan, gevolg gegee word nie, maar dat die saak direk na afloop van die huidige Sinode alreeds hanteer kan word.</p> <p><u>Motivering</u></p> <p>As gevolg van die finansiële las en tydsduur van die Sinode is die <b>saak spoedeisend</b>. Indien die huidige Sinode Deputate sou aanwys wat eers op Sinode 2021 rapporteer, sou hulle aanbevelings eers daarna geïmplementeer kan word.</p> | <p>even be forwarded to other major assemblies to implement.</p> <p><b>Decision: Approved.</b></p> <p>1.2.4 <u>Summary: Consultation with Deputies General Synod – Two phases: telematic and shortened session</u></p> <p>3.3.2 The Deputies should organise, in <b>consultation with the Deputies General Synod</b>, the next General Synod, which could be held in two different phases: a <b>telematic phase</b> as well as a <b>shortened physical assembly</b>. Docenda's facilities could, for example, be used for the telematic phase of the Synod.</p> <p><b>Decision: Approved.</b></p> <p>That pt 3 of the Point of Description, as it stands there, is not followed, but that the matter can already be dealt with directly after the conclusion of the present Synod.</p> <p><u>Motivation</u></p> <p>Due to the financial burden and length of time of the Synod, the matter is speedy. If the current Synod were to designate Deputies who first report at Synod 2021, their recommendations could only be implemented afterwards.</p> <p><b>Decision: Approved.</b></p> |
| <p>1.2.5 <u>Opsomming: Afhandelingsbevoegdheid – die tyd, plek, frekwensie, die agenda en die finansiële aspekte</u></p> <p>3.3.3 Daar word aanbeveel dat die Deputate se opdrag ook 'n bepaalde <b>afhandelingsbevoegdheid</b> sal insluit om al die belangrike aspekte soos die tyd, plek, frekwensie, die Agenda en die finansiële aspekte asook die verskillende Streeksinodes se unieke omstandighede in berekening gebring sal kan word.</p> <p><b>Besluit: Goedgekeur.</b></p>   | <p>1.2.5 <u>Summary: Completion capability – the time, place, frequency, the agenda and the financial aspects</u></p> <p>3.3.3 It is recommended that the Deputies' instruction will also include a certain <b>completion capability</b> to take into account all the important elements such as time, place, frequency, the Agenda and the financial aspects as well as the unique circumstances of the different Regional Synods.</p> <p><b>Decision: Approved.</b></p>   |
| <p>1.2.6 <u>Opsomming: Uitdagings is uitgewys en so gou moontlik aangespreek</u></p> <p>3.3.4 Die uitdagings wat albei die bogenoemde Beskrywingspunte uitgewys het, moet dan deur die</p>  | <p>1.2.6 <u>Summary: Challenges were identified to be addressed as quickly as possible</u></p> <p>3.3.4 The challenges that both the above Points of Description indicated must be addressed by the Deputies in a</p>   |

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <p>Deputate op 'n verantwoordelike wyse aangespreek en <b>so gou as moontlik geïmplementeer word.</b><br/><b>Besluit: Goedgekeur.</b></p> <p>1.2.7 <u>Opsomming: Wat nou en wat later?</u><br/>3.3.5 Die Deputate moet deeglik <b>onderskei tussen dit wat dadelik geïmplementeer kan word en wat eers tydens die eersvolgende Sinode in sitting gehanteer kan word.</b><br/><b>Besluit: Goedgekeur.</b></p> <p>1.2.8 <u>Opsomming: Buitelandse kerke</u><br/>3.3.6 Die aangewese Deputate kan by binne en buitelandse kerke, met wie ons in korrespondensie staan, gaan kers opsteek.<br/><b>Besluit: Goedgekeur (wysiging reeds aangebring – Deputate Handeling).</b></p> <p>1.2.9 <u>Opsomming: Fasiliteerder</u><br/>3.3.7 Daar word ook aanbeveel dat 'n onafhanklike fasiliteerder deur die Deputate verkry sal word om die denkproses en die gesprek te fasiliteer. Dit moet gedoen word in die lig van ter saaklike kerkregtelike aspekte ('n borgskap om dit te betaal kan hiervoor gesoek word).<br/><b>Besluit: Goedgekeur.</b></p> <p>1.2.10 <u>Opsomming: Gesig tot gesig (GTG), telematies, frekwensie</u><br/>3.3.8 Die aangewese Deputate bepaal dan wat in die toekoms telematies hanteer kan word en wat op die verkorte fisiese sitting hanteer moet word. Die frekwensies van die vergaderings moet aangepas word. Dalk meer gereeld, maar baie korter. Net sake wat regtig noodsaaklik is, moet uiteindelik op die fisiese byeenkoms van die Algemene Sinode hanteer word. Op grond van KO, art 30 asook ander toepaslike artikels in die Kerkorde, kan die las op die Agenda van 'n Algemene Sinode heelwat verlig word.<br/><b>Besluit: Goedgekeur.</b></p> <p>1.2.11 <u>Opsomming: Deputate vergaderings telematies</u><br/>Alle Deputate van meerdere vergaderings kan intussen versoek word om hulle werk op 'n <b>telematiese</b></p> | <p>responsible manner and <b>implemented as soon as possible.</b><br/><b>Decision: Approved.</b></p> <p>1.2.7 <u>Summary: What now and what later?</u><br/>3.3.5 The Deputies must clearly <b>discern what can be implemented immediately</b> and what can be addressed at the upcoming Synod.<br/><b>Decision: Approved.</b></p> <p>1.2.8 <u>Summary: International churches</u><br/>3.3.6 The appointed Deputies can seek advice from national and international churches with which we are in correspondence.<br/><b>Decision: Approved (amendment already made – Deputies Acts).</b></p> <p>1.2.9 <u>Summary: Facilitator</u><br/>3.3.7 It is also recommended that an independent facilitator be recruited by the Deputies to facilitate the thought process and discussion. This must be done in light of the relevant church law aspects (sponsorship to pay for this can be sought).<br/><b>Decision: Approved.</b></p> <p>1.2.10 <u>Summary: Face to face (FTF), telematic, frequency</u><br/>3.3.8 The designated Deputies then determines what will in future be handled telematically and what needs to be addressed at the shortened physical assembly. The frequency of the assemblies must be adjusted – perhaps more often, but much shorter. Only matters that are truly essential must be tabled at the assembly of the general synod. As per CO, art 30 as well as other applicable articles in the Church Order, the load of the Agenda of a General Synod can be significantly lightened.<br/><b>Decision: Approved.</b></p> <p>1.2.11 <u>Summary: Deputies' meetings telematics</u><br/>All Deputies of major assemblies can meanwhile be requested to do their work <b>telematically.</b> It would already now save thousands of Rand.<br/><b>Decision: Approved.</b></p> |
|--|--|

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <p><b>wyse</b> te doen. Dit sal nou reeds duisende Rande bespaar.</p> <p><b>Besluit: Goedgekeur.</b></p> <p>1.2.12 <u>Opsomming: Deputate so min as moontlike reiskoste</u><br/> 3.3.10 Dat, indien moontlik Deputate slegs een maal in die termyn van drie jaar 'n "gesig tot gesig"-vergadering sal hou. Die ander vergaderings moet elektronies gedoen word. Indien dit noodsaaklik is vir Deputate om meer as een "gesig tot gesig"-vergadering te hou, die Deputate dit in samewerking met die Bestuur van die Administratiewe Buro sal besluit. Dat die Administratiewe Buro iemand uit die personeel sal aanwys wat verantwoordelik is om Deputate leiding te gee om die elektroniese vergaderings op die mees effektiewe en koste-effektiewe wyse te hou.</p> <p><b>Besluit: Goedgekeur.</b></p> <p>1.2.13 <u>Opsomming: Uitgangspunte</u><br/> 3.4 <i>Prinsipiële uitgangspunte wat deur die betrokke Deputate in ag geneem behoort te word</i><br/> 3.4.1 Die Deputate moet aanpassings maak op die basis van die Presbiteriale Kerkregeringstelsel soos geïnkorporeer in ons Kerkorde. Dit moet op so 'n wyse geskied dat sowel die selfstandigheid van die plaaslike kerk as die Gereformeerde ampsbegrip en ook die gesag van meerdere vergaderings nie in gedrang kom nie.</p> <p><b>Besluit: Kennis geneem.</b></p> <p>1.2.14 <u>Opsomming: Kollegialisme as Independentisme</u><br/> 3.4.2 Die Deputate moet <b>waak</b> teen sowel Kollegialisme as Independentisme (maw daar mag geen gedagte ontstaan dat enkele persone alles beheer nie).</p> <p><b>Besluit: Kennis geneem.</b></p> <p><b>Besluit: Punte 1 tot 1.2.14 kennis geneem.</b></p> <p><b>2. Uitvoering van opdragte</b><br/> 2.1 Die Deputate gee <b>soos volg uitvoering</b> aan die opdragte:<br/> Volgens ons mening staan die</p> | <p>1.2.12 <u>Summary: Deputies as little travelling expenses as possible</u><br/> 3.3.10 If possible, Deputies should only hold one face-to-face meeting per three-year term. Other meetings must be held electronically. Should it be necessary for Deputies to have more than one "face-to-face" meeting, the Deputies will do so in consultation with the Management if the Administrative Bureau. The Administrative Bureau should appoint a staff member to guide the Deputies to hold electronic meetings as efficiently and cost-effectively as possible.</p> <p><b>Decision: Approved.</b></p> <p>1.2.13 <u>Summary: Departure Points</u><br/> 3.4 <i>Principal departure points the relevant Deputies should take into account</i><br/> 3.4.1 The Deputies must make adjustments on the basis of the Presbyterian Church Governance System, as incorporated into our church order. It must take place in such a way that neither the independence of the local church, the concept of the Reformed offices as well as the authority of the major assembly come under threat.</p> <p><b>Decision: Noted.</b></p> <p>1.2.14 <u>Summary: Collegialism as Independentism</u><br/> 3.4.2 The Deputies must <b>guard</b> against both collegialism and independentism (in other words no suspicion must arise that matters are controlled by a solitary few).</p> <p><b>Decision: Noted</b></p> <p><b>Decision: Points 1 to 1.2.14 noted.</b></p> <p><b>2. Execution of mandate</b><br/> 2.1 The Deputies carried out these instructions <b>as follows:</b><br/> In our view, the following matters related to</p> |
|--|---|

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <p>volgende sake, wat in opdrag van 2018 se Algemene Sinode gegee is, uit, nl</p> <p>2.1.1 Motivering vir opdrag: Optimale benutting van GKSA se hulpbronne en die moontlikheid dat jonger (nog werkende) ouderlinge na die Algemene Sinode afgevaardig kan word.</p> <p>2.1.2 Afhandelingsbevoegdheid. Dat sover moontlik reeds voor die volgende AS van die opdragte geïmplementeer.</p> <p>2.1.3 Drie moontlikhede om 'n meer effektiewe Algemene Sinode te hou, word aanbeveel:</p> <p>i) 'n Verkorte Algemene Sinode, wat 'n verkleinde agenda impliseer (sien Dordtse KO, artt 30 en 46).</p> <p>ii) Deels gesig-tot-gesig (hierna GtG), deels telematies (hierna TS) vergadering</p> <p>iii) Frekwensie van vergaderings</p> <p>2.1.4 Koördinering met Deputate Algemene Sinode vir die reël van die volgende Algemene Sinode.</p> <p><b>Besluit: Punte 2.1 tot 2.1.4 kennis geneem.</b></p> <p>2.2 <i>Werkswyse</i><br/>Die Deputate het verskillende male digitaal vergader om uitvoering te gee aan bogenoemde opdragte. Daar is ook 'n digitale vergadering belê met die professore in Kerkreg, nl Proff Dries du Plooy en Johannes Smit (vgl 1.2.13 en 1.2.14 hierbo). Dit is egter nie nodig geag om 'n fasiliteerder te betrek in die werksaamhede van die Deputate nie (vgl 1.2.9 hierbo).</p> <p><b>Besluit: Kennis geneem.</b></p> <p>2.3 <i>Al die besluite van die Algemene Sinode 2018 (hierbo) in ag genome is die volgende afgehandel en/of in proses:</i></p> <p>2.3.1 Oor 1.2.12 (Opdrag 3.3.10): 'n Telematiese vergadering is met al die voorsitters van Deputate groepe gehou op 09 April 2018 om uitvoering aan die besluit te gee.</p> <p>2.3.2 Oor die verbetering van effektiwiteit van die Algemene Sinode se werksaamhede is die volgende reeds geïmplementeer en/of besig om geïmplementeer te word (kyk 1.2.3, 1.2.4, 1.2.5, 1.2.10 hierbo):</p> | <p>the instruction of General Synod 2018 stand out, viz.:</p> <p>2.1.1 Motivation for instruction: Optimal utilisation of the GKSA's resources and the possibility of delegating younger (still working) elders to the General Synod.</p> <p>2.1.2 Completion capability: Implementation of many as possible of the GS's instructions before the next GS.</p> <p>2.1.3 Three options for a more efficient General Synod are recommended:</p> <p>i) A shortened General Synod, which implies a reduced agenda (see Dordt CO, arts 30 and 46)</p> <p>ii) Partly face-to-face (hereafter FtF), partly telematic (hereafter TS) assembly</p> <p>iii) Frequency of meetings</p> <p>2.1.4 Coordination with the Deputies General Synod to organise the next General Synod.</p> <p><b>Decision: Points 2.1 to 2.1.4 noted.</b></p> <p>2.2 <i>Methodology</i><br/>The Deputies met digitally on a number of occasions to carry out the above instructions. A digital meeting was also held with professors of church law, namely Profs Dries du Plooy and Johannes Smit (cf. 1.2.13 and 1.2.14 above). It was, however, not deemed necessary to involve a facilitator in the work of the Deputies (cf. 1.2.9 above).</p> <p><b>Decision: Noted.</b></p> <p>2.3 <i>Taking into account all the decisions of the General Synod 2018 (above), the following have been completed and/or are in progress:</i></p> <p>2.3.1 Re. 1.2.12 (Instruction 3.3.10): A telematic meeting was held with all the chairmen of the Deputy groups on 09 April 2018 to carry out the decision.</p> <p>2.3.2 Re. improving the efficiency of the General Synod: The following has been implemented or is in the process of being implemented (see 1.2.3, 1.2.4, 1.2.5, 1.2.10 above):</p> |
|---|--|

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <p>2.3.2.1 <b>Die Algemene Sinode vergader in twee fases</b>, nl 'n verkorte Gesig-tot-Gesig (GtG) en 'n Telematiese vergadering (TS). Dit bly dus dieselfde vergadering (met dieselfde afgevaardigdes en Moderamen) wat bloot in reses gaan om later (telematies) te vergader.</p>  | <p>2.3.2.1 <b>The General Synod assembling in two phases</b>, viz. a shortened face-to-face (FtF) and a telematic assembly (TS). It stays the same meeting (with the same delegates and Moderamen) that simply adjourn to later (telematic) convene again.</p>  |
| <p>2.3.2.2 Hierdie vergaderingswyse is, in oorleg met die Deputate Programmering, opgeroep vir 30 Januarie 2023 (GtG) tot 04 Februarie 2023 en daarna die TS vanaf Maandag 06 Februarie en enkele dae daarna.</p>  | <p>2.3.2.2 This method of meeting has, in consultation with the Deputies Programming, been scheduled for 30 January 2023 (FtF) to 04 February 2023 and thereafter the TS from Monday 06 February to a few days thereafter.</p>  |
| <p>2.3.2.3 Die <b>afvaardiging, konstituering en Moderamen</b></p>   | <p>2.3.2.3 The <b>delegation, constituting and Moderamen</b></p>  |
| <p>2.3.2.3.1 <u>Afvaardiging</u> geskied soos deur die KO bepaal via Streeksinodes (dws getrapte afvaardiging). Om tyd tydens die GtG sitting te bespaar, word die konstituering en verkiesing van die Moderamen vooraf afgehandel. Die volgende skrywe met 'n versoek in hierdie verband is aan die Streeksinodes (November 2022) deurgegee vir hantering.</p>  | <p>2.3.2.3.1 <u>Delegation</u> occurs as stipulated by the CO via Regional Synods (staggered delegation). The constituting and election of the Moderamen occur in advance to save time. The following letter, containing a request in this regard, was sent to the Regional Synods (November 2022):</p>   |
| <p>2.3.2.3.2 <u>Vooraf konstituering (bevestiging van afgevaardigdes)</u><br/> <i>Wanneer die Streeksinode die afvaardiging afgehandel het, word die afgevaardigdes gevra om 'n vorm AS 1 (Afvaardiging na die Algemene Sinode 2023) in te vul op hulle selfoon of rekenaar. Hierdie inligting word deur "google forms" verwerk en is beskikbaar vir Dr Wymie du Plessis (Deputate Programmering)</i><br/> Praktiese uitvoering hiervan verloop soos volg:<br/> <i>Alle afdelings in hierdie vorm/vraelys moet ingevul word. Na die vorm volledig ingevul is, "klik" op die knoppie "submit". Hiermee word jou inligting na die Deputate Programmering gestuur en is jy as afgevaardigde geregistreer. Afgevaardigdes se imstemming met die Openlike Verklaring word ook hiermee bevestig.</i></p> | <p>2.3.2.3.2 <u>Advance constituting (confirmation of delegates)</u><br/> <i>Once the Regional Synod has appointed delegates, these delegates are requested to complete AS 1 (Delegation to the General Synod 2023) on their cell phones or computer. This information is processed by google forms and is available to Dr Wymie du Plessis (Deputies Programming)</i><br/><br/> Practical execution of this occurs as follows:<br/> <i>All sections of this form/questionnaire must be completed. Once the form has been completed, click on "submit". Herewith the information is sent to the Deputies Programming and you are registered as delegate. Delegates agreement to the Open Declaration is also confirmed in this way.</i></p> |

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <p>Daar word wel gevra dat elke Streeksinode steeds 'n kredensiebrief sal stuur aan Dr Wymie du Plessis (wymiedup@gksa.co.za) ter wille van die notule en kontrole doeleindes.</p> <p><b>2.3.2.3.3 Vooraf verkiesing van die Moderamen</b></p> <p>Wanneer die registrasieproses (konstituering) afgehandel is, sal almal wat deur die Streeksinodes afgevaardig is per e-pos/Whatsapp (of deur eenvoudig die skakel in te tik in jou websoekenjin op rekenaar en selfoon) versoek word om voorstelle te stuur vir afgevaardigdes wat om op die Moderamen kan dien.</p> <p>Die wyse waarop voorstelle ingedien sal word en waarop gestem sal word, sal volledig op skrif aan die afgevaardigdes verduidelik word.</p> <p>Voorstelle vir die Moderamen sal gedoen word deur vorm AS 2 (Verkiesing van Moderamen) in te vul.</p> <p>Hierdie voorstelle word dan deur die Deputate Programmering verwerk in 'n <b>stembrief</b> wat weereens in "google forms" aan afgevaardigdes gestuur sal word. Eers sal 'n <b>stembrief</b> gestuur word sodat daar vir die voorsitter gestem kan word. Indien daar nie met die eerste stemming iemand met volstreekte meerderheid verkies word nie, sal daar weer gestem word tussen die persone wat die meerderheid stemme ontvang het. Hiervoor sal daar 'n nuwe stembrief uitgestuur word.</p> <p>Dieselfde proses sal dan gevolg word vir die verkiesing van ondervoorsitter, skriba en hulpskriba.</p> <p>Daar sal gepoog word om die verkiesing van die Moderamen voor 09 Januarie af te handel.</p> <p><b>Besluit: Punte 2.3 tot 2.3.2.3.3 kennis geneem.</b></p> | <p><i>It is, however, requested that every Regional Synod still sends a letter of credentials to Dr Wymie du Plessis (wymiedup@gksa.co.za) for the purposes of the minutes and verification.</i></p> <p><b>2.3.2.3.3 Advance election of the Moderamen</b></p> <p><i>Once the registration process (constituting) has been completed, all delegates of the Regional Synods will be requested to forward nominations for delegates who can serve on the Moderamen via email/WhatsApp (or simply by copying the link into your web browser on your computer or cell phone).</i></p> <p><i>The manner in which nominations will be submitted and voted on will be explained to the delegates in writing.</i></p> <p><i>Nominations for the Moderamen will be done by completing form AS 2 (election of Moderamen).</i></p> <p><i>These nominations will then be included by the Deputies Programming on a <b>ballot paper</b>, which will then be sent to delegates in "Google forms". First a <b>ballot paper</b> will be sent for voting for the chairman. Should an absolute majority vote not be achieved in the first round of voting, another round of voting will occur among the individuals who received the most votes. A new ballot paper will be issued for this.</i></p> <p><i>The same process will then be followed for electing the vice-chairman, scribe and assistant scribe.</i></p> <p><i>All efforts will be made to finalise the election of the Moderamen before 09 January.</i></p> <p><b>Decision: Points 2.3 to 2.3.2.3.3 noted.</b></p> |
|--|---|



|   |   |
|---|---|
| <p>2.4 <i>Voorstelle vir hantering van Rapporte (reeds al tydens Alg Sin 2023?)</i></p>   | <p>2.4 <i>Suggestions for Report procedure (already during General Synod 2023?)</i></p>   |
| <p>2.4.1 Dat die Sinode nie die werk wat deur Deputate gedoen is, na Kommissies verwys, om bespreek en beoordeel te word nie.</p>   | <p>2.4.1 The Synod should not refer the work carried out by the Deputies to Commissions for discussion and review.</p>  |
| <p>2.4.2 Dat Deputate hulle Rapporte so opstel dat die sake waarvoor Sinode moet oordeel, net tot die uiterste minimum beperk word.</p>   | <p>2.4.2 The Deputies should draft their Reports in such a way that matters to be tabled to the Synod are kept to the absolute minimum.</p>   |
| <p>2.4.3 Dat Rapporte die volgende opskrifte bevat:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Opdrag van Deputate</i></li> <li>• <i>Werk deur die Deputate afgehandel</i></li> </ul> <p><u>Aanbeveling:</u> Die Sinode neem kennis dat die werk afgehandel is.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Noodsaaklike werk waaraan kerke gesamentlik deur 'n Deputate aandag moet gee</i></li> </ul> <p><u>Aanbeveling:</u> Dat die Sinode goedkeur dat Deputate aangewys word om die opdragte uit te voer. Dit word dan die opdragte aan nuut-aangewysde Deputate.</p>   | <p>2.4.3 The Reports should contain the following headings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Instruction to the Deputies</i></li> <li>• <i>Work completed by the Deputies</i></li> </ul> <p><u>Recommendation:</u> The Synod should take note of the work completed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Essential work that churches, together with Deputies, should address</i></li> </ul> <p><u>Recommendation:</u> The Synod should approve appointing Deputies to carry out the instructions. This then becomes the instructions to the next Deputies.</p>  |
| <p>2.4.4 <u>Dat die Rapporte soos volg behandel en afgehandel word</u></p>  | <p>2.4.4 <u>Reports should be reviewed and finalised as follows</u></p>   |
| <p>2.4.4.1 Een besluit vir kennisneming van al die Rapporte:<br/>Dat die aanbeveling “<i>Die Sinode neem kennis dat die werk afgehandel is</i>” van al die onderskeie Deputate met <b>een besluit</b> vroeg in die Sinode aanvaar word.<br/>Dit beteken nie dat die Deputate se werk reg/verkeerd gedoen is nie, maar bloot dat hulle hul opdrag (KO, art 49) afgehandel het.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bespreking vereis deur bg aanbeveling (2.4.4.1)<br/>Indien dit blyk dat daar bespreking gevoer moet word oor die vraag of werk deur die Deputate na behore afgehandel is, word dit verwys óf na die einde van die Sinode óf na die telematiese vervolg van die Sinode.<br/>Hierdie bespreking kan alleen plaasvind as daar so Ordevoorstel en sekondant is en dit deur die Sinode aanvaar word. Die voorsteller kan in kort</li> </ul> | <p>2.4.4.1 One decision in acknowledgement of all the Reports:<br/>The recommendation “The Synod takes note of all the work completed” by all the different Deputies be accepted with <b>one decision</b> early on in the Synod.</p> <p>It does not indicate whether the work has been done correctly/incorrectly, but purely that the instruction (CO, art 49) has been carried out.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Discussion required by above recommendation (2.4.4.1)<br/>Should it become apparent that discussion is needed to determine whether the relevant question or work of the Deputies has been properly addressed, it should either be deferred to the end of the Synod or to the telematic continuation of the Synod.<br/>This discussion can only occur if a Motion has been made, seconded and accepted by the Synod. The delegate who raises the Motion can offer a brief motivation for his motion,</li> </ul> |

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <p>'n motivering gee vir sy Ordevoorstel maar geen bespreking word verder toegelaat nie.</p>  | <p>but no further discussion is permitted.</p>   |
| <p>2.4.4.2 Een besluit vir goedkeuring van al die Rapporte<br/>Dat die Sinode met <b>een besluit</b> die aanbeveling “Werk waaraan kerke steeds gesamentlik deur 'n Deputate aandag moet gee “goedkeur”.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bespreking vereis deur bg. aanbeveling (2.4.4.2)<br/>Indien dit blyk dat daar bespreking gevoer moet word oor die vraag of voorstelle goedgekeur moet word (orde voorstel wat aanvaar word), word dit verwys óf na die einde van die Sinode óf na die telematiese vervolg van die Sinode.<br/><i>Deputate kan die Algemene Sinode vra om oor 'n bepaalde aanbeveling 'n besluit te neem, of 'n sekere opdrag te hanteer waarvoor die Deputate meerdere leiding nodig het. Die Algemene Sinode beoordeel dan die meriete of die vergadering weer na 'n saak moet kyk.</i></li> </ul> | <p>2.4.4.2 One decision for approval of all the Reports<br/>The Synod should “approve” with <b>one decision</b> the recommendation: “Work which the churches must collectively address through Deputies.”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Discussion required by above recommendation (2.4.4.2)<br/>Should it become apparent that discussion is needed to determine whether the relevant question or suggestions should be approved (motion should be accepted), it should either be deferred to the end of the Synod or to the telematic continuation of the Synod.<br/><br/><i>Deputies could request the General Synod to make a decision about a certain recommendation or to address a certain instruction in which the Deputies require further guidance. The General Synod would then judge whether the matter merits a second review.</i></li> </ul> |
| <p>2.4.4.3 Deputate-Rapporte aan kerke vóór die Sinode<br/>Dit sou verskeie voordele inhou indien Deputate in die toekoms die opdrag het om teen die Meimaand voor die volgende Sinode hulle volledige Rapporte aan al die kerke te stuur en kommentaar te vra via die Deputate Algemene Sinode. Die onderskeie Deputate kan dan, volgens hulle goeie oordeel, die kommentaar verwerk en deel maak van die Rapport aan die Sinode.<br/><i>Dit is kerkordelik moontlik en ook wenslik dat kerke aan Deputate advies kan gee siende dat die Rapport nog in besprekingsfase is.</i></p>  | <p>2.4.4.3 Deputies' reports to church prior to the Synod<br/>There would be a range of benefits to instruct the Deputies to already submit their complete Reports by May of the year preceding the Synod to all the churches and request comment via the Deputies General Synod. The different Deputies could then, at their discretion, process the input and incorporate it into their Report to the Synod.<br/><br/><i>It is possible, in terms of the church order, and also desirable that churches offer Deputies advice, seeing that the Report is still in the discussion phase.</i></p>  |
| <p>2.4.4.4 Dat die Sinode (moontlik) Kommissies aanwys wat sekere pre-advies aan die Sinode moet voorberei vir die Telematiese Sinode (bv oor die Agenda en hantering van sake tydens die</p>   | <p>2.4.4.4 The Synod could (possibly) appoint Commissions to prepare certain recommendations for the Synod about the telematic synod (e.g. about the Agenda and handling of matters during the session). Delegates who wish to</p>   |

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <p>bepaalde sitting). Afgevaardigdes wat insette wil lewer by sulke Kommissies, kan dit per e-pos doen en dit aan die Skriba van die Kommissie stuur.</p> <p><b>Besluit: Punte 2.4 tot 2.4.4.4 kennis geneem.</b></p> <p>2.5 <i>Sake wat die aandag van GTG vergadering vereis</i></p> <p>2.5.1 Die Deputate Algemene Sinode gee leiding oor watter sake by die GtG Sinode hanteer behoort te word, met die volgende kategorieë as riglyn:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Wesentlike sake, wat prinsipiële beoordeling vra tov die wese van kerk-wees</li> <li>• Dus, sake wat administratief en regulatief van aard is, word nie op die Agenda geplaas nie</li> <li>• Amptelike opening (“kerkdienste”), dagopenings en -sluitings word volgens KO, art 32 hanteer, dus slegs paslike gebede (hoogstens ook Skriflesing) maar geen prediking nie.</li> </ul> <p>2.5.2 <u>Die volgende sake word voorgestel as riglyn vir die moontlike Agenda</u></p> | <p>offer input at such Commissions could do so via e-mail and forward it to the Scribe of the Commission.</p> <p><b>Decision: Points 2.4 to 2.4.4.4 noted.</b></p> <p>2.5 <i>Matters that require FtF attention</i></p> <p>2.5.1 The Deputies General Synod could offer guidance as to which matters should be addressed at the FtF Synod, with the following categories as guideline:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Significant matters that require review in terms of the essential principles of the church</li> <li>• Thus, matters that are administrative and regulatory in nature would not be included on the Agenda</li> <li>• Official opening (“church services”), day openings and closings would take place according to CO, art 32, in other words only prayers (at most also Scripture reading), but no preaching.</li> </ul> <p>2.5.2 <u>The following items are suggested as guideline for a possible Agenda</u></p> |
| Goedkeuring van elke dag se notules / Approval of each day’s minutes   |   |
| Rapport van die roepende kerkraad / Report of the convening Church Council   |   |
| Rapport van die Deputate Korrespondensie van die Agenda / Report of the Deputies Correspondence of the Agenda  |   |
| Programmering / Programming  |   |
| Rapport Deputate Algemene Sinode Programmering / Report Deputies General Synod Programming   |   |
| Rapport Deputate Algemene Sinode / Report Deputies General Synod   |   |
| Rapport 1 – Appèldeputate / Rapport 1 – Appeal Deputies  |   |
| Groetboodskappe van Buitelandse Kerke / Greetings from International Churches  |   |
| Kerkregtelik / Church polity   |   |
| Leerstellig / Doctrinal affairs  |   |
| Liturgies / Liturgical affairs   |   |
| Enkele sake van die TSP / Selected matters of the TSP  |   |
| Sake wat na die Finansiële Kommissie verwys word / Matters to be referred to the Finance Commission  |   |
| Benoeming van Deputate / Appointing of Deputies  |   |
| <p><b>Besluit: Punte 2.5 tot 2.5.2 kennis geneem.</b></p>  | <p><b>Decision: Points 2.5 to 2.5.2 noted.</b></p>  |

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <p>2.6 <i>Voorsetting van die Algemene Sinode deur 'n telematiese sitting (TS)</i></p> <p>2.6.1 Die besluit van die Algemene Sinode 2018 voorsien die moontlikheid dat 'n Sinode telematies kan vergader.</p> <p>2.6.2 Die betrokke GtG besluit in die algemeen wanneer die Algemene Sinode deur 'n telematiese sitting (TS) hervat word.</p> <p>2.6.3 Hou in gedagte dat hierdie sitting slegs 'n voortsetting is van die GtG Sinode. Dus, geen konstituering, verkiesing van Moderamen ens word hanteer nie. Afgevaardigdes is nie onbekend met mekaar en Moderamen nie, aangesien hulle reeds ontmoet, saam besprekings gevoer en besluite geneem het.</p> <p>2.6.4 Al die sake wat nie by die GtG afgehandel is nie, word by hierdie TS afgehandel.</p> <p>2.6.5 Indien daar sake is wat deur die GtG verwys is na die TS, en wat deur Sinodale kommissies hanteer moet word, kan afgevaardigdes by wyse van e-pos (in die tussen-tyd van GtG tot TS) hulle insette by die Kommissies lewer.</p> <p>2.6.6 <u>Die inrigting van die TS word soos volg gereël</u><br/> Samestelling van die klusters vir die telematiese deel van die Sinode.<br/> <i>(Neem asseblief in ag dat daar tydens die GtG sitting van die Sinode geleentheid sal wees om aan afgevaardigdes verder te verduidelik hoe die telematiese deel van die Sinode sal werk.)</i><br/> Aangesien die Streeksinodes afvaardig na die Algemene Sinode is sodanige Streeksinodes reeds versoek om, in oorleg met die Klasse binne Streeksinodes, behulpsaam te wees met vorming van “klusters” vir die telematiese sittings.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Die volgende riglyne kan gebruik word vir die indeling van klusters: Minstens twee kerke (afgevaardigdes) moet in 'n kluster byeen wees tydens die telematiese voortsetting van die Sinode.<br/> Groter stede en omringende voorstede soos Pretoria,</li> </ul> | <p>2.6 <i>Continuation of the General Synod via a Telematic Synod (TS)</i></p> <p>2.6.1 The decision of the General Synod 2018 provides for the possibility of a telematic session.</p> <p>2.6.2 The relevant FtF decides when the General Synod is resumed via a telematic Synod (TS).</p> <p>2.6.3 Keep in mind that this session is purely a continuation of the FtF Synod. Therefore, it does not include constituting, election of a Moderamen, etc. Delegates would not be unfamiliar with each other and the Moderamen, since they have already met, held discussions and made decisions together.</p> <p>2.6.4 All matters not finalised at the FtF are then addressed at this TS.</p> <p>2.6.5 Should there be matters that the FtF refers to the TS that must be addressed by Synodal commissions, delegates can forward their input via e-mail (in the time between the FtF and TS) to the Commissions.</p> <p>2.6.6 <u>Arrangements for the TS</u></p> <p>Forming of clusters for the telematic part of the Synod.<br/> (Please note that there will be opportunity during the FtF session of the Synod to further explain to the delegates the procedure that will be followed for the telematic part of the Synod.)</p> <p>Since the Regional Synods send delegates to the General Synod, these Regional Synods are requested to aid in forming such “clusters” in consultation with the Classes.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The following guidelines are suggested for the forming of clusters:<br/> The delegates of at least two churches must form a cluster during the telematic continuation of the Synod.</li> </ul> <p>Larger cities and the surrounding suburbs such as Pretoria,</p> |
|---|--|

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <p>Johannesburg, Bloemfontein, Kaapstad kan bv. 'n kluster vorm. Plattelandse gebiede kan in kleiner geografies-nabye klusters gedeel word. Elke Klassis behoort te weet wat die logiese indeling sou wees.</p> <p>By <i>hoogste uitsondering</i> (in afgeleë gebiede) sou 'n afgevaardigde in sy eie huis (of pastorie) kon inskakel by die vergadering.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Die volgende kriteria is belangrik vir die "gasheer" van die kluster: Voldoende en volhoubare fasiliteite, bv. kragvoorsiening en internetverbindings (verkieslik 'n vastelynverbinding aangesien die traagheid op selfoonnetwerke baie hoog kan word), rekenaar-tegnologie, klank- en projektors. Beperkte reiskoste. Indeling moet sodanig wees dat dit kostes tot die minimum beperk.</li> </ul> <p>Beperkte vergaderingskoste. Die gemeente wat die kluster huisves word versoek om verversings en (waar nodig) oornagverblyf te voorsien.</p> <p>Die versoek is gerig dat Klassen spoedig na die sitting van Streeksinodes (November 2022) hierdie indeling van die klusters sal deurgee aan die Korresponderende Kerkraad van die betrokke Streeksinode vir deurgee aan die Deputate Programmering Algemene Sinode.</p> <p><b>2.6.7 Vergaderingswyse in klusters</b></p> <p><b>2.6.7.1</b> By elke kluster word 'n funksionaris wat kundig met 'n rekenaar is aangewys, wat</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>orde handhaaf in die kluster, spreekbeurte toeken in die kluster, met die voorsitter van die Sinode en die sprekers kommunikeer en sal sorg dat, wanneer die voorsitter van die Sinode laat stem, die stemme in die kluster getel word en die totaal deurgestuurd word na die Admin Buro.</li> </ul> | <p>Johannesburg, Bloemfontein, Cape Town could, for example, form a cluster.</p> <p>Rural areas could be divided into smaller geographic clusters. Each classis should know what the logical division would be.</p> <p>Only under <i>highly exceptional</i> circumstances (in remote areas) would a delegate be able to join the assembly from his own home (or pastorage).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The following criteria are important for the "host" of the cluster: Adequate and sustainable facilities, e.g. electricity and internet connections (preferably a fixed-line connection, since the delay on cell phone networks could become substantial), computer technology, sound and projectors Limited travel expenses: Division into clusters must be done so as to limit travel expenses to the bare minimum. Limited meeting costs: The church hosting the cluster is requested to provide refreshments and (when necessary) overnight accommodation. It is requested that Classes submit this cluster grouping to the Corresponding Church Council of the relevant Regional Synod as soon as possible after the sitting of the Regional Synods (November 2022) for forwarding to the Deputies Programming General Synod.</li> </ul> <p><b>2.6.7 Meeting Procedure in clusters</b></p> <p><b>2.6.7.1</b> Every cluster appoints a functionary who is technologically adept, for the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The functionary is to maintain order in the cluster, assign speaking turns in the cluster, communicate with the chairman of the Synod and speakers; and ensure that when the chairman institutes the vote, the votes of the cluster are counted and the total is forwarded to the Admin Bureau.</li> </ul> |
|--|---|

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hierdie funksionaris vervang nie die Ordekommissie van die Algemene Sinode nie. Hierdie Kommissie sal die Moderamen (in Potchefstroom?) bystaan in die hantering van die sitting.</li> <li>• Wyse van afhandeling van die Agenda: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Sodra die voorsitter van die Sinode 'n saak aan die orde gestel het, gee hy geleentheid vir bespreking in die volgorde wat hy die name van die funksionaris ontvang het.</li> <li>- Die voorsitter verklaar dat die bespreking afgehandel is en vra 'n voorstel.</li> <li>- Billikheidshalwe kan voorstelle per WhatsApp na 'n spesifieke foon by die voorsitter van die Sinode gestuur word. Hierdie voorstelle mag eers gestuur word nadat die voorsitter gevra het vir voorstelle.</li> <li>- Die voorsitter sê watter voorstelle hy ontvang het en vra 'n sekondant vir die eerste voorstel wat hy ontvang het.</li> <li>- Laat stem daaroor en indien dit die meerderheid is, is die besluit geneem. Indien nie, vra hy sekondant vir die tweede voorstel en laat stem.</li> <li>- Die stemming verloop soos volg: By elke kluster kan elke afgevaardigde, soos in die verlede by die Algemene Sinodes, een stem uitbring. Die funksionaris tel die stemme en stuur die getal deur na die aangewese Kommissie en die stemme word daar getel en die uitslag word aan die voorsitter gekommunikeer.</li> <li>- Die voorsitter kan reël dat die volgende saak op die Agenda behandel kan word terwyl die stemme by die Admin Buro getel word.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This functionary does not replace the Order Commission of the General Synod. This Commission assists the Moderamen (in Potchefstroom?) in directing the session.</li> <li>• Finalisation of the Agenda: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- As soon as the chairman of the Synod has tabled a matter, he will allow opportunity for discussion in the sequence of names received from the functionary.</li> <li>- The chairman declares when the discussion has been concluded.</li> <li>- To be reasonable, suggestions can be sent via WhatsApp to a specific phone of the chairman of the Synod. These suggestions may only be sent once the chairman as requested such suggestions.</li> <li>- The chairman will recite the suggestions received and request a seconding of the first suggestion he received.</li> <li>- A vote will then be cast and should it achieve the majority, the decision passes. If not, he will receive a seconding of the second suggestion and call for a vote.</li> <li>- Voting will occur as follows: Each delegate of a cluster can cast one vote, as with previous General Synods. The functionary will then count the votes and send the total to the relevant Commission, where the votes will be recounted and the result communicated to the chairman.</li> <li>- The chairman can then move on to the next matter on the Agenda, while the votes are counted at the Admin Bureau.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
|--|---|

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <p>2.6.7.2 Gebruik van selfone</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dit kan gebeur dat 'n kluster se internettoegang tot die vergadering onderbreek word deur krag- of internet-onderbreking.</li> <li>• Sodanige selfoon kan as noodmaatreël gebruik word waardeur verbinding met die voorsitter en die res van die TS behou kan word. Die selfoon kan op luidspreker gestel word en by die voorsitter kan die selfoon naby die rekenaar se luidspreker neergesit word</li> </ul> <p>2.6.7.3 Wyse van stemming</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• By elke kluster word 'n spesifieke selfoon gebruik om te stem. Die nommer moet voor die tyd bekend gemaak word.</li> <li>• Wanneer die voorsitter 'n saak tot stemming bring, word die stemme by die kluster deur die fasiliteerder getel en vanaf die spesifieke foon deurgegee aan die aangewese Kommissie.</li> <li>• Die aangewese Kommissie tel die stemme en gee die uitslag aan die voorsitter.</li> <li>• Die voorsitter maak die uitslag bekend en stel die volgende Agendapunt aan die orde.</li> </ul> <p><b>Besluit: Punte 2.6 tot 2.6.7.3 kennis geneem.</b></p> <p><b>3. Finale voorstel aan die Algemene Sinode</b></p> <p>3.1 Dat hierdie Rapport eerste (kort na dagopening) in behandeling geneem word, aangesien dit die orde van die res van die vergadering kan bepaal.</p> <p><b>Besluit: Reeds hiervan kennis geneem.</b></p> <p>3.2 Dat die werk wat reeds deur die Deputate Effektiewe Sinode gedoen is goedgekeur word (2.3).</p> <p><b>Besluit: Goedgekeur.</b></p> <p>3.3 Dat die aanbevelings in hierdie Rapport (2.4-2.6) goedgekeur word vir implementering so gou doenlik.</p> <p><b>Besluit: Goedgekeur.</b></p> | <p>2.6.7.2 Use of cell phones</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It may happen that a cluster's internet access to the assembly is interrupted by power or internet outages.</li> <li>• A cell phone can be used as an emergency measure to retain contact with the chairman and the rest of the TS. The cell phone's loudspeaker can be switched on and the chairman can place the cell phone next to the computer's speakers.</li> </ul> <p>2.6.7.3 Vote Procedure</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Every cluster will use a specific cell phone for their vote. The number of the phone must be sent through in advance.</li> <li>• When the chairman calls for a vote on a matter, the facilitator of the cluster will count the votes and forwarded it by means of the above phone to the relevant Commission.</li> <li>• The relevant Commission will count all the votes and inform the chairman of the result.</li> <li>• The chairman then announces the result and moves to the next item on the Agenda.</li> </ul> <p><b>Decision: Points 2.6 to 2.6.7.3 noted.</b></p> <p><b>3. Final suggestion to the General Synod</b></p> <p>3.1 This Report should be tabled first (just after the day opening), since it may determine the course of the rest of the assembly.</p> <p><b>Decision: Already handled as such.</b></p> <p>3.2 The work already done by the Deputies Efficient Synod should be approved (2.3).</p> <p><b>Decision: Approved.</b></p> <p>3.3 The recommendations in this report (2.4-2.6) should be approved for implementation as soon as possible.</p> <p><b>Decision: Approved.</b></p> |
|--|---|

**G. RAPPORT : KOMMISSIE EFFEKTIEWE SINODE  
REPORT : COMMISSION EFFECTIVE SYNOD**

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <p><b>1. Opdrag</b><br/>Ons het ons opdrag soos volg verstaan:<br/>Om te evalueer of pte 2.3–2.6 van die Rapport prakties uitvoerbaar is in die lig van KO, art 30.<br/><b>Besluit: Kennis geneem.</b></p> <p><b>2. Werkswyse</b><br/>Punte 2.3–2.6 van die Rapport was elkeen afsonderlik bespreek. In elke geval word aanbevelings eers gemaak aangaande praktiese uitvoerbaarheid, en tweedens aangaande KO, art 30.<br/><b>Besluit: Kennis geneem.</b></p> <p><b>3. Aangaande 2.3</b></p> <p>3.1 <u>Beredenering</u><br/>Dit sal prakties uitvoerbaar wees om die vergadering te konstitueer en die Moderamen te verkies soos gestel in die Rapport. In die lig van KO, art 30 die aard van ons kerklike verhoudings met mekaar, en die gronde waarop ons vergader, is dit egter noodsaaklik dat ons vergadering op 'n kerklike wyse gekonstitueer word. Die konstituering van die vergadering en aanvaarding van die afgevaardigdes met Kredensiebriewe, kan nie verskraal word tot die blote invul van 'n vorm en afmerk van 'n boksie nie. Die verkiesing van die Moderamen tydens die vergadering sou egter steeds elektronies kan geskied sonder om KO, art 30 in gedrang te bring.<br/><b>Besluit: Kennis geneem.</b></p> <p>3.2 <u>Bevinding</u><br/>Alhoewel die konstituering van die vergadering prakties uitvoerbaar is soos gestel in die Rapport, voldoen dit nie aan die vereistes van KO, art 30 nie.<br/><b>Besluit: Kennis geneem.</b></p> <p>3.3 <u>Aanbeveling</u></p> <p>3.3.1 Dat ons die vergadering konstitueer en die Moderamen verkies word tydens die gesig tot gesig sitting.</p> <p>3.3.2 Dat die Moderamen by wyse van elektroniese hulp verkies word.<br/><b>Besluit: Punte 3.3.1 en 3.3.2 goedgekeur.</b></p> | <p><b>1. Mandate</b><br/>We understood our mandate as the following: To evaluate if pts 2.3–2.6 of the Report are practically executable in the light of CO, art 30.<br/><b>Decision: Noted.</b></p> <p><b>2. Procedure</b><br/>Points 2.3-2.6 of the Report were each discussed consecutively. In every case recommendations are made firstly in regard to practical executability, and secondly in regard to CO, art 30.<br/><b>Decision: Noted.</b></p> <p><b>3. Regarding 2.3</b></p> <p>3.1 <u>Reasoning</u><br/>It would be practically possible to constitute and elect a Moderamen as stated in the report. However, in the light of CO, art 30, the nature of our church relations with each other and the grounds on which we meet, necessitates that our meeting should convene in an ecclesiastical way. Constituting the meeting and accepting of delegates with letters of recommendation cannot be reduced to merely filling in a form and ticking a box. The election of the Moderamen, however, can still be done electronically.<br/><b>Decision: Noted.</b></p> <p>3.2 <u>Finding</u><br/>Although it is practically possible, it does not meet the requirements of CO, art 30.<br/><b>Decision: Noted.</b></p> <p>3.3 <u>Recommendations</u></p> <p>3.3.1 That we constitute the meeting and elect the Moderamen face to face.</p> <p>3.3.2 That the election of the Moderamen be done electronically.<br/><b>Decision: Points 3.3.1 and 3.3.2 approved.c</b></p> |
|--|---|



|  |   |
|--|---|
| <p><b>4. Aangaande 2.4</b></p> <p>4.1 <u>Beredenering</u></p> <p>4.1.1 Aangaande 2.4.1. Dit sal prakties uitvoerbaar wees om die Rapport se voorgestelde prosedure te volg. Tog kan Kommissie werk gebruik word om tyd te bespaar. Daarom stel ons voor dat die bewoording verander word dat die Sinode slegs by uitsondering die werk van Deputate na 'n Kommissie verwys.</p> <p>4.1.2 Aangaande 2.4.2–2.4.3. Dit sal prakties uitvoerbaar wees om die Rapport se voorgestelde prosedure te volg.</p> <p>4.1.3 Aangaande 2.4.4.1. Dit sal prakties uitvoerbaar wees om die Rapport se voorgestelde prosedure te volg.</p> <p>4.1.4 Aangaande 2.4.4.2. Dit sal prakties uitvoerbaar wees om die Rapport se voorgestelde prosedure te volg.</p> <p>4.1.5 Aangaande 2.4.4.3. Dit sal prakties uitvoerbaar wees om die Rapport se voorgestelde prosedure te volg. Tog verkort dit die tyd wat die Deputate het om hulle werk te doen. Daarom stel ons voor dat die bewoording verander word van “Volledige Rapporte” na “Voorlopige Rapporte”.</p> <p>4.1.6 Aangaande 2.4.4.4. Dit sal prakties uitvoerbaar wees om die Rapport se voorgestelde prosedure te volg.</p> <p>4.2 <u>Bevinding</u><br/>4.1.1–4.1.6 is prakties uitvoerbaar, in die lig van KO, art 30.</p> <p>4.3 <u>Aanbeveling</u><br/>Dat die voorgestelde prosedures goedgekeur word, met die verandering soos in die beredenering gestel.</p> <p><b>Besluit: Punte 4.1 tot 4.3 kennis geneem.</b></p> | <p><b>4. Regarding 2.4</b></p> <p>4.1 <u>Reasoning</u></p> <p>4.1.1 Regarding 2.4.1. It would be practically possible to use the suggested report procedure. However, Commissions can be used to save time. Therefore, we suggest that the wording be changed that the Synod should only by exception refer the work of Deputies to a Commission.</p> <p>4.1.2 Regarding 2.4.2–2.4.3. It would be practically possible to use the suggested report procedure.</p> <p>4.1.3 Regarding 2.4.4.1. It would be practically possible to use the suggested report procedure.</p> <p>4.1.4 Regarding 2.4.4.2. It would be practically possible to use the suggested report procedure.</p> <p>4.1.5 Regarding 2.4.4.3. It would be practically possible to use the suggested report procedure. However, it shortens the time that the Deputies have to do their work. Therefore we suggest that the wording is changed from “Complete Report” to “Preliminary Report”.</p> <p>4.1.6 Regarding 2.4.4.4. It would be practically possible to use the suggested report procedure.</p> <p>4.2 <u>Finding</u><br/>4.1.1–4.1.6 are all practically possible, in the light of CO, art 30.</p> <p>4.3 <u>Recommendations</u><br/>That the procedures recommended be approved, according to the amendments suggested in the reasoning.</p> <p><b>Decision: Points 4.1 to 4.3 noted.</b></p> |
| <p><b>5. Aangaande 2.5</b></p> <p>5.1 <u>Beredenering</u></p> <p>5.1.1 Aangaande 2.5.1. Dit sal prakties uitvoerbaar wees om die Rapport se voorgestelde prosedure te volg.</p> <p>5.1.2 Aangaande 2.5.2. Dit sal prakties uitvoerbaar wees om die Rapport se voorgestelde prosedure te volg. Tog, in die lig van KO, art 30 moet die konstituering van die vergadering en verkiesing van die Moderamen bygevoeg word by die sake van 2.5.2.</p>   | <p><b>5. Regarding 2.5</b></p> <p>5.1 <u>Reasoning</u></p> <p>5.1.1 Regarding 2.5.1 It would be practically possible to use the suggested report procedure.</p> <p>5.1.2 Regarding 2.5.2. It would be practically possible to use the suggested procedure in the report. However, in the spirit of CO, art 30 the constituting and electing of the Moderamen should be added to the items of 2.5.2.</p>   |

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <p>5.2 <u>Bevinding</u></p> <p>5.2.1 Aangaande 2.5.1. Dit is nie in stryd met KO, art 30 nie.</p> <p>5.2.2 Aangaande 2.5.2. Dit sal die kerklike wyse van die gesig tot gesig Sinode bevorder.</p> <p>5.3 <u>Aanbeveling</u><br/>Dat die konstituering van die vergadering en die verkiesing van die Moderamen bygevoeg word by die lys van sake in 2.5.2.</p> <p><b>Besluit: Punte 5.1 tot 5.3 kennis geneem.</b></p> <p><b>6. Aangaande 2.6</b></p> <p>6.1 <u>Beredenering</u><br/>Dit sal prakties uitvoerbaar wees om die Rapport se voorgestelde prosedure te volg. Waar dit prakties moontlik is, sal die kluster die kerklike wyse van KO, art 30 bevorder.</p> <p>6.2 <u>Bevinding</u><br/>Dat die voorstel van die Rapport in sake die vergadering in klusters nie KO, art 30 in gedrang bring nie.</p> <p>6.3 <u>Aanbeveling</u><br/>Dat die voorstel van die Rapport in sake die vergadering in klusters goedgekeur sal word.</p> <p><b>Besluit: Punte 6.1 tot 6.3 kennis geneem.</b></p> | <p>5.2 <u>Finding</u></p> <p>5.2.1 Regarding 2.5.1. it is not contrary to CO, art 30.</p> <p>5.2.2 Regarding 2.5.2. it will enhance the ecclesiastical manner of the face to face meeting.</p> <p>5.3 <u>Recommendation</u></p> <p>5.3.1 That the constitution of the meeting and election of the Moderamen be added to the list of items in 2.5.2.</p> <p><b>Decision: Points 5.1 to 5.3 noted.</b></p> <p><b>6. Regarding 2.6</b></p> <p>6.1 <u>Reasoning</u><br/>It would be practically possible to use the suggested report procedure. Where it is practical, clustering will enhance the ecclesiastical manner of CO, art 30.</p> <p>6.2 <u>Finding</u><br/>That the recommendations of the Report regarding the meeting of the clusters do not impede on the ecclesiastical way.</p> <p>6.3 <u>Recommendation</u><br/>That the recommendations of the Report regarding the meeting of the clusters be approved.</p> <p><b>Decsion: Points 6.1 to 6.3 noted.</b></p> |
|--|--|